IN THE WASHINGTON STATE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION II

DAWN BAUER,

Respondent,

vs.

NYLES BAUER,

Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT

OF THURSTON COUNTY

Cause No. 09-3-00087-6

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT

WAYNE C. FRICKE WSB #16550

HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S. Attorneys for Appellant 1008 South Yakima Avenue, Suite 302 Tacoma, Washington 98405 (253) 272-2157

Table of Contents

TAI	BLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
I.	STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
П.	ARGUMENT	.4
TTT	CONCLUSION	_
III.	CONCLUSION	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATE CASES

None

FEDERAL CASES

None

I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Appellant adopts the statement of the case as set forth in his opening brief.

II. ARGUMENT

Respondent's argument that Mr. Bauer was put on notice of the trial date is without merit. First, she attaches emails that are not part of the record to demonstrate that he was given notice of the trial date and served copies of her counsel's trial brief. Respondent's brief at 4. However, a review of the emails sent by her then attorney demonstrate that the notice was not, as represented, sent to his email address, which was nyles314@hotmail.com. Instead, it was sent to the email address bauerx1@msn.com, apparently one day prior to trial. There is no indication that he received it or had given any approval for his former attorney to appear. Thus, the court should grant his motion.

Additionally, a reasonable time longer than one year in which to file for relief is available under a situation where the judgment is void or entered while the party was prevented from prosecuting or defending this case. Here, as stated in his affidavits, Mr. Bauer was incarcerated and then sent to a psychiatric unit. Under this scenario he could not prosecute his motion. Thus, the time should be extended.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The Appellant respectfully requests that the court reverse the trial court and remand for further proceedings.

DATED this <u>23</u> day of April, 2013.

HESTER LAW GROUP, INC. P.S. Attorneys for Appellant

WAYNE C. FRICKE

WSB #16550

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Lee Ann Mathews, hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that on the day set out below, I delivered true and correct copies of the reply brief of appellant to which this certificate is attached, by United States Mail or ABC-Legal Messengers, Inc., to the following:

Dawn Bauer PMB 5360 P. O. Box 257 Olympia, WA 98507-0257

Nyles Bauer 1242-B Willow Street NE Lacey, WA 98503

Signed at Tacoma, Washington, this 23vd day of April, 2013.

THE ANN MATHEWS

HESTER LAW OFFICES

April 23, 2013 - 3:14 PM

Transmittal Letter

Document Uploaded:	435306-Reply Brief.pdf													
Case Name: Court of Appeals Case Number:	Dawn Bauer v. Nyles Bauer 43530-6													
Is this a Personal Restraint P	Petition?	Yes	■ No											
The document being Filed is:														
Designation of Clerk's	Papers	Supplem	nental De	esignation	of Clerk's Paper									
Statement of Arrangen	nents													
Motion: Answer/Reply to Motion: Brief:Reply														
								Statement of Additional Authorities						
								Cost Bill						
Objection to Cost Bill														
Affidavit														
Letter														
	Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedings - No. of Volumes: Hearing Date(s):													
Personal Restraint Peti	Personal Restraint Petition (PRP)													
Response to Personal F	Response to Personal Restraint Petition													
Reply to Response to F	Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition													
Petition for Review (PR	(V)													
Other:														
Comments:														

No Comments were entered.

Sender Name: Leeann Mathews - Email: leeann@hesterlawgroup.com